UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,569	06/15/2005 Edwin Rijpkema		NL021331	9459	
65913 NXP , B.V.	EX AN				
	ECTUAL PROPERTY	MITCHELL, DANIEL D			
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4134		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/538,569	RIJPKEMA, EDWIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DANIEL MITCHELL	4134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Jul This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 6/15/2005 is/are: a) ☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. accepted or b) □ objected to by t				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/05/2006 and 06/15/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 4134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,411,617 to Kilkki *et al.* ("Kilkki") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,667,984 to Chao *et al.* ("Chao").
- 3. As to **claim 1 and 9**, *Kilkki* discloses a communication network comprising one or more interconnected data switches (fig. 8 element 112) having I/O ports (fig. 8 elements 116, 117, 122, 123) and at least one virtual port (fig. 8 elements 118, 120) (column 13, lines 23-41).

Kilkki does not expressly disclose means for subjecting said ports to one contention resolution process.

Chao discloses port contention resolution for an ATM switch (column 12, lines 48-64).

Kilkki does not make any provisioning for the way virtual ports are managed within an ATM switch. Therefore it is interpreted that contention resolution for virtual and physical ports will be handled by the same process.

Art Unit: 4134

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to have modified Kilkki to include the function of contention resolution for all ports as taught by Chao. The suggestion/motivation would have been to perform the basic functions of an ATM switch (column 7, lines 24-37).

4. As to **claims 2-4**, Kilkki discloses communication network as to the parent claim wherein the at least one virtual port is a virtual input port (fig. 8 - element 120) or output port (fig. 8 - element 118).

See similar motivation as claim1.

5. As to **claims 5-8**, Kilkki discloses a communication network as to the parent claim wherein that at least one virtual port (fig. 8 - element 118 or 120) is coupled to at least one resource (fig. 8 - element 110). Also the one resource (fig 8. element 110) is an external resource to the data switch (fig. 8 - element 112) and the one resource is an ATM SIMA Extender which comprises means for configuring data packets for the ATM switch (column 13, lines 23-41).

See similar motivation as claim 1.

6. As to **claim 10**, see similar rejection to claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL MITCHELL whose telephone number is (571)270-5307. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 5:00 pm EST.

Art Unit: 4134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lun-Yi Lao can be reached on 571-272-7671. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 4134

/LUN-YI LAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4134

Art Unit: 4134